

Neutrino Mixing

March 20, 2014

We will adopt the conventions $m, n = e, \mu, \tau$ and $i, j = 1, 2, 3$.

$$|\nu_m\rangle = \sum_i U_{mi}^* |\nu_i\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\nu_i\rangle = \sum_m U_{mi} |\nu_m\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle \nu_i | = \sum_m \langle \nu_m | U_{mi}^*$$

where the second relation can be derived as follows

$$\sum_m U_{mj} |\nu_m\rangle = \sum_m \sum_i U_{mj} U_{mi}^* |\nu_i\rangle = \sum_m \sum_i U_{mj} U_{im}^\dagger |\nu_i\rangle = \sum_i \delta_{ij} |\nu_i\rangle = |\nu_j\rangle$$

From the expansion of fermion field $\psi(x)$, we see that

$$\psi(x)|0\rangle = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_s v^s(p) e^{ip \cdot x} |\bar{\nu}, \mathbf{p}, s\rangle$$

Taking the charge conjugation transformation, we find

$$C\psi(x)C^{-1}|0\rangle = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_s v^s(p) e^{ip \cdot x} |\nu, \mathbf{p}, s\rangle$$

According to $C\psi(x)C^{-1} = \xi_c \gamma^2 \psi^*(x)$, we can conclude that

$$|\bar{\nu}_n\rangle = \sum_j U_{nj} |\bar{\nu}_j\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\bar{\nu}_j\rangle = \sum_n U_{nj}^* |\bar{\nu}_n\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle \bar{\nu}_j | = \sum_n \langle \bar{\nu}_n | U_{nj}$$

where the second relation can be derived as follows

$$\sum_n U_{ni}^* |\bar{\nu}_n\rangle = \sum_n \sum_j U_{ni}^* U_{nj} |\bar{\nu}_j\rangle = \sum_n \sum_j U_{in}^\dagger U_{nj} |\bar{\nu}_j\rangle = \sum_j \delta_{ij} |\bar{\nu}_j\rangle = |\bar{\nu}_i\rangle$$

With the above transformations, we proceed to consider the scattering process

$$\langle \nu_i \bar{\nu}_j | H_I | e^- e^+ \rangle = \sum_m \sum_n \langle \nu_m \bar{\nu}_n | U_{mi}^* U_{nj} H_I | e^- e^+ \rangle$$

Summing over the constraint $m = n$, we can obtain

$$\langle \nu_i \bar{\nu}_j | H_I | e^- e^+ \rangle = \sum_m U_{im}^\dagger U_{mj} \langle \nu_m \bar{\nu}_m | H_I | e^- e^+ \rangle$$

Let \mathcal{M}_1 denotes the contribution from the exchange of Z -boson and \mathcal{M}_2 denotes the contribution from the exchange of W -boson, it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}(e^- e^+ \rightarrow \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e) = \mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{M}_2, \quad \mathcal{M}(e^- e^+ \rightarrow \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu) = \mathcal{M}(e^- e^+ \rightarrow \nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau) = \mathcal{M}_1$$

Using the relation $\sum_m U_{im}^\dagger U_{mj} = \delta_{ij}$, we can similarly get

$$\mathcal{M}(e^- e^+ \rightarrow \nu_i \bar{\nu}_j) = U_{ie}^\dagger U_{ej} (\mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{M}_2) + (U_{i\mu}^\dagger U_{\mu j} + U_{i\tau}^\dagger U_{\tau j}) \mathcal{M}_1 = \delta_{ij} \mathcal{M}_1 - U_{ei}^* U_{ej} \mathcal{M}_2$$

Since $|\nu_i\rangle$ are mass eigenstates, their propagation can be described by plane wave solutions of the form

$$|\nu_i(t)\rangle = e^{-i(E_i t - \vec{k}_i \cdot \vec{x})} |\nu_i(0)\rangle$$

In the ultrarelativistic limit $|\vec{k}_i| = k_i \gg m_i$, we can approximate the energy as

$$E_i = \sqrt{k_i^2 + m_i^2} \simeq k_i + \frac{m_i^2}{2k_i}$$

Using also $t \approx L$, where L is the distance traveled, the wavefunction becomes

$$|\nu_i(L)\rangle = e^{-im_i^2 L/2k_i} |\nu_i(0)\rangle \approx e^{-im_i^2 L/2E} |\nu_i(0)\rangle$$

where E is the energy of massless neutrino. Then the amplitude of the probability that neutrino ν_m will be observed can be written as

$$A(\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_m) = \langle \nu_m | \nu_i(t) \rangle = \sum_j U_{mj} e^{-im_j^2 L/2E} \langle \nu_j | \nu_i \rangle = U_{mi} e^{-im_i^2 L/2E}$$

For antineutrinos, we can obtain similarly

$$A(\bar{\nu}_j \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_n) = \langle \bar{\nu}_n | \bar{\nu}_j(t) \rangle = \sum_j U_{nj}^* e^{im_j^2 L/2E} \langle \bar{\nu}_i | \bar{\nu}_j \rangle = U_{nj}^* e^{-im_j^2 L/2E}$$

Then, we come to the point to express the amplitude of $e^- e^+ \rightarrow \nu_m \bar{\nu}_n$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(e^- e^+ \rightarrow \nu_m \bar{\nu}_n) &= \sum_i \sum_j \mathcal{M}(e^- e^+ \rightarrow \nu_i \bar{\nu}_j) A(\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_m) A(\bar{\nu}_j \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_n) \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j (\delta_{ij} \mathcal{M}_1 - U_{ei}^* U_{ej} \mathcal{M}_2) U_{mi} U_{nj}^* e^{-i(m_i^2 - m_j^2)L/2E} \\ &= \sum_i U_{mi} U_{ni}^* - \sum_i \sum_j U_{ei}^* U_{ej} U_{mi} U_{nj}^* e^{-i(m_i^2 - m_j^2)L/2E} \mathcal{M}_2 \\ &= \delta_{mn} \mathcal{M}_1 - \sum_i \sum_j U_{ei}^* U_{ej} U_{mi} U_{nj}^* e^{-i\Delta m_{ij}^2 L/2E} \mathcal{M}_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta m_{ij}^2 = m_i^2 - m_j^2$. We proceed to calculate the square of the amplitude

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}(e^- e^+ \rightarrow \nu_m \bar{\nu}_n)|^2 &= \delta_{mn} \left[|\mathcal{M}_1|^2 - 2\text{Re} \left(\sum_i \sum_j U_{ei}^* U_{ej} U_{mi} U_{nj}^* e^{-i\Delta m_{ij}^2 L/2E} \mathcal{M}_1^* \mathcal{M}_2 \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_i \sum_j U_{ei}^* U_{ej} U_{mi} U_{nj}^* e^{-i\Delta m_{ij}^2 L/2E} \right|^2 |\mathcal{M}_2|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $m = n$, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \sum_j U_{ei}^* U_{ej} U_{mi} U_{mj}^* e^{-i\Delta m_{ij}^2 L/2E} &= \sum_{i=j} (U_{ei}^* U_{mi})(U_{ej}^* U_{mj})^* + 2\text{Re} \left(\sum_{i>j} U_{ei}^* U_{ej} U_{mi} U_{mj}^* e^{-i\Delta m_{ij}^2 L/2E} \right) \\ &= \sum_i |U_{ei}^* U_{mi}|^2 + 2 \sum_{i>j} |U_{ei}^* U_{ej} U_{mi} U_{mj}^*| \cos \left(\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{2E} - \varphi_{ij} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_{ij} = \arg(U_{ei}^* U_{ej} U_{mi} U_{mj}^*)$. If CP invariance holds, the above relation reduces to

$$\sum_i \sum_j U_{ei}^* U_{ej} U_{mi} U_{nj}^* e^{-i\Delta m_{ij}^2 L/2E} = \sum_i \sum_j U_{ei} U_{ej} U_{mi} U_{mj} \cos \left(\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{2E} \right)$$

where the property $U^* = U$ is used. Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}(e^- e^+ \rightarrow \nu_m \bar{\nu}_m)|^2 &= |\mathcal{M}_1|^2 - 2 \sum_i \sum_j U_{ei} U_{ej} U_{mi} U_{mj} \cos \left(\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{2E} \right) \text{Re}(\mathcal{M}_1^* \mathcal{M}_2) \\ &\quad + \left[\sum_i \sum_j U_{ei} U_{ej} U_{mi} U_{mj} \cos \left(\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{2E} \right) \right]^2 |\mathcal{M}_2|^2 \end{aligned}$$